



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,046	06/09/2006	Rudolf Berger	72.104	1788
<div>23598 7590 12/14/2007</div> <div>BOYLE FREDRICKSON S.C.</div> <div>840 North Plankinton Avenue</div> <div>MILWAUKEE, WI 53203</div>				
			<div>EXAMINER</div> <div>SMITH, SCOTT A</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT</div> <div>3721</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>NOTIFICATION DATE</div> <div>12/14/2007</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE</div> <div>ELECTRONIC</div>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@boylefred.com

H

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/595,046

Applicant(s)

BERGER ET AL.

Examiner

Scott A. Smith

Art Unit

3721

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 October 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-36 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 8-13 and 15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3-7 and 14 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/16/06</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

Note the attached IDS filed on 1/16/06 which had previously been considered. This copy reflects a correction in the cited reference to Masashi Yasuda. The reference number is "20020014814", not "20020014884", as listed on the IDS.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of Group I in the reply filed on 10/22/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is a technical relationship among the inventions of Group I and Group II involving at least one of the same special technical features, since both groups relate to an air spring between two portions of the tool. This is not found persuasive because as pointed out in the restriction requirement dated 9/21/07, group I has the special technical features of a spring device which is parallel to an air spring, and a unit location sensor. Whereas group II has the special technical features of on air pump and valve comprised of a slide, and elastic stops. Although both groups have an air spring between tool parts, it is the Examiner's position that the special technical features of each group, as set forth

above, are not so related that all of the claims should be examined. In addition, the special technical features of each group, as set forth above, are not set forth in the other group.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 16-36 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 10/21/07.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract may not contain legal phraseology such as "means" on line 4. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 is indefinite since "the valve device" on line 3 lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by German Patent Application DE 101 58 266 A1, hereinafter Bosch '266.

Bosch '266 discloses a hand held tool comprising a first unit 2, a second unit 3, a vibration isolating device 5, 11 between the units and having an actuator 5 for producing an actuating force in a working direction which can be actuated via a pneumatic drive, which is deemed to inherently constitute a handle air spring (note: Fig. 2), the tool further comprising a spring device 4 which is parallel to the actuator, a sensor 14, 15 for determining the spacing of the units, wherein the tool is presumed to function without the spring device "bottoming out", as per claim 11, and to cyclically function, as per claim 12 due to the sensor 14, 15 and associated controller 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 2, 8, 10, 11-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent Application DE 101 58 266 A1, hereinafter Bosch '266.

In the event that Bosch '266 is interpreted not to include the actuator having an air spring as claimed, applicant is given Official Notice that to dampen vibrations in tool handles via air springs is well known, as well as providing damping devices with air springs that are pneumatically adjustable. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to form the actuator of Bosch '266 as claimed in order to allow for dynamic damping during use. Regarding claims 10, 13, and 15, Bosch '266 is silent as the functional parameters. In any event, it would have been obvious to one skilled to allow for the specifically claimed operating parameters since to do so provides no new and unexpected results and is within the engineering purview of the skilled artisan.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 3-7 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kramp et al. '616 discloses a similar tool having two parallel dampers A1, A2 between a handle and housing. Yasuda '298 discloses a pneumatically actuated equalizing system for a vibration control device (note: Fig. 11). See the attached PTO-892 for other related art

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott A. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-4469. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-4:00 Tues.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:
10/595,046
Art Unit: 3721

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

S. Smith



SCOTT A. SMITH
PRIMARY EXAMINER